

To: Gaito, Danielle[Gaito.Danielle@epa.gov]; Houlihan, Damien[houlihan.damien@epa.gov]; Papadopoulos, George[papadopoulos.george@epa.gov]
Cc: Elena Besedin[Elena_Besedin@abtassoc.com]; Michael Fisher[Michael_Fisher@abtassoc.com]; James Palardy[James_Palardy@abtassoc.com]
From: Meadows, Kelly
Sent: Mon 7/27/2015 6:31:45 PM
Subject: RE: WA4-28, WP Task 1: Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station Technical Assistance, EP-C-11-009 Pilgrim. Benefits Analysis Review. 7.27.2015 v2.docx

.....
Hello—

Please see attached for the memo. Apologies for the delay. Abt actually sent it to me this morning but it sounds like their email server was on the fritz and it never actually got out.

Please let us know if you have any questions.

Thanks!

Kelly

From: Meadows, Kelly
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2015 1:30 PM
To: 'Gaito, Danielle'; Michael Fisher; Houlihan, Damien
Cc: Elena Besedin
Subject: RE: WA4-28, WP Task 1: Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station Technical Assistance, EP-C-11-009

Hello—

I received the CDs and have them turned around to go out tonight to Jim and Elena. Talk to everyone on Monday!

Thanks

Kelly

From: Gaito, Danielle [<mailto:Gaito.Danielle@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2015 3:03 PM
To: Michael Fisher; Houlihan, Damien
Cc: Meadows, Kelly; Elena Besedin
Subject: RE: WA4-28, WP Task 1: Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station Technical Assistance, EP-C-11-009

Hi Michael —

Because the NERA Report is, in its entirety, CBI, we had to overnight CDs. I am unable to send

it electronically. I understand this will slightly delay the kickoff to give Abt time to receive and look over the report. I sent them to Kelly today – he should receive them tomorrow morning and will turn them around to get them into Abt's hands as soon as possible. I'm hoping to schedule the kickoff call for Monday July 20?

I look forward to seeing the cost calculator input file!

Thanks!

Danielle

Danielle Gaito

Water Permits Branch

Environmental Protection Agency Region 1

5 Post Office Square Suite 100 (OEP06-4)

Boston, MA 02109-3912

(617) 918-1297

From: Michael Fisher [mailto:Michael_Fisher@abtassoc.com]

Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2015 11:50 AM

To: Houlihan, Damien; Gaito, Danielle

Cc: Meadows, Kelly (Kelly.Meadows@tetrattech.com); Elena Besedin

Subject: FW: WA4-28, WP Task 1: Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station Technical Assistance, EP-C-11-009

Hi Damien and Danielle,

Elena Besedin and Jim Palardy will follow up via Kelly to set up a call for the benefits work. I spoke with Elena and she understands the time needs for the near-term review. In the meantime, it would be useful to send the NERA report to Elena before the call. When you send that, please copy me.

Lisa and I will send the cost calculator input file to you shortly.

Thanks,

Mike Fisher

From: Meadows, Kelly [<mailto:Kelly.Meadows@tetrattech.com>]

Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2015 9:29 AM

To: Elena Besedin

Cc: Michael Fisher

Subject: FW: WA4-28, WP Task 1: Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station Technical Assistance, EP-C-11-009

Hello—

Are you available to help out with this task for Region 1? This is the only information I have—no idea about budget or timeframe. I'm sure we will discuss that on a kickoff call. What dates would work for you?

Thanks!

Kelly

From: Chan, Jennifer [<mailto:Chan.Jennifer@epa.gov>]

Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2015 8:41 AM

To: Meadows, Kelly

Cc: Houlihan, Damien

Subject: TD: WA4-28, WP Task 1: Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station Technical Assistance, EP-C-11-009

Kelly,

Please provide technical assistance to EPA Region 1 in accordance with Work Plan for the task outlined below. Please schedule a kick-off meeting with Region 1 to discuss this task.

TASK: Assistance reviewing Entergy's assessment of costs and benefits related to Clean Water Act Section 316(b) requirements for Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station (PNPS), Plymouth, MA

In June 2008, Entergy submitted an *Economic Assessment of Fish-Protection Alternatives at Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station* (the 2008 Economic Report) prepared by NERA Economic Consulting for Entergy. EPA is requesting assistance to review the accuracy of the benefits analysis in the 2008 Economic Report for the purpose of comparing the costs and benefits of available fish protection technologies at PNPS. First, EPA requests an assessment of the estimated commercial and recreational benefits presented in the 2008 Economic Report. Second, EPA requests an assessment of the Report's analysis of non-use benefits. Finally, where deficits or inconsistencies in the benefits analysis are identified, EPA requests an assessment of the information and analysis needed to address these gaps.

thanks

Jennifer Chan

US EPA, OW, OWM

Water Permits Division

chan.jennifer@epa.gov

202-564-3067 (Tues, Wed, Thurs)

This message may contain privileged and confidential information intended solely for the addressee. Please do not read, disseminate or copy it unless you are the intended recipient. If this message has been received in error, we kindly ask that you notify the sender immediately by return email and delete all copies of the message from your system.